Single Bench

(2) CF/002

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, CHHATTISTGARH at BILASPUR.

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 4612 / 2006.

PETITIONER : **D. B. K. Rao**
S/o Shri. T. Annayya
Aged around 62 years,
Ex. BSP employee.
Residing at : Qr. No. 6/A,
Street- 9, Sector –7,
BHILAI - 490001
Tehsil and Dist. : Durg (CG).

P.R. No. 4652/06
Presented by Shri. B.P. Rao
dated 24/8/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. The Managing Director (SAIL),
Bhilai Steel Plant,
Ispat Bhawan, **BHILAI - 490001**
District : Durg (CG).

2. The Manager (Recruitment),
(Recruitment Section)
Bhilai Steel Plant,
BHILAI – 490001
District : Durg (CG)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 / 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR THE ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE ORDER AND DIRECTIONS IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARY, MANDAMUS ETC.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP(S)No.4612 **सन् 2006**

20

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

30-8-2006

Heard Mr. B.P. Rao, counsel for the petitioner.

By the instant writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has prayed that the respondents be directed to consider the case of the petitioner's son for compassionate appointment on account of the retirement of the petitioner on medical ground.

The case of the petitioner is that he was employed as Chargeman-cum-Master Operator in S-10 Grade and posted in CRR & RED-1 of Bhilai Steel Plant. Subsequently, on medical ground the petitioner was declared Permanent Medical Unfit for the job by the Medical Board of Bhilai Steel Plant. Based on that, the petitioner was discharged from service vide order dated 12-1-2002 and on that basis, the petitioner submitted a representation for compassionate appointment of his son. Even after the lapse of 3 years, the respondents have not considered the case of the petitioner's son for compassionate appointment.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that on similar ground number of persons have been appointed on compassionate appointment, whereas, the case of the petitioner's son is not being considered. Therefore, the respondents be directed to consider the representation of the petitioner and pass appropriate order in the matter.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and having perused the petition and the documents, the prayer made by learned counsel is just, fair and reasonable. Therefore, respondent No.2 is directed to scrutinize the representation submitted by the petitioner for compassionate appointment of his son and pass final order on the application considering the case of the petitioner for compassionate appointment of his son, in accordance with the policy of respondent No.1.

With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
L.C.Bhadoo
Judge

Soma

P.T.O.